WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

	8'-43 kn Weather Conditions: Kar	Yes	No	Notes
······································	7077	diament and a second	140	IVOVES
	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)	1	
-1;	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		x	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		×	
3 Ft	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		,	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		X	
3.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		×	The state of the s
) _. ;	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	×		
Э.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		*	
l.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			